

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office-Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,456		10/04/2000	Stephen A. Rago	3728-109US	7545	
26161	7590	07/09/2004	EXAMINER			
FISH & R		SON PC	HAMILTON, MONPLAISIR G			
225 FRAN BOSTON,		10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2135	i	
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	, 17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	n N	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/679,45 Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit					
	,		C Hamilton						
The MAII	ING DATE of this communica		G Hamilton	2135	Idrace				
Period for Reply	ING DATE OF this communica	uon appears on the	cover sheet what are	correspondence ad	uress				
THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ay be available under the provisions of 3 S from the mailing date of this communic specified above is less than thirty (30) d is specified above, the maximum statute the set or extended period for reply will, the Office later than three months after djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no every cation.  ays, a reply within the statu. bry period will apply and will by statute, cause the apply.	nt, however, may a reply be to tory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cation to become ABANDON	imely filed  bys will be considered timel  the mailing date of this co  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed of	on <u>30 March 2004</u> .							
2a) This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is ne	on-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	ns								
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	Claim(s) 1-3,6-9 and 16-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9 and 16-27 is/are rejected.								
Application Papers									
9) The specific	cation is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)∏ The drawin	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant m	ay not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	nt drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected to b	•	• ,	·					
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowled a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority do ified copies of the priority do ies of the certified copies of ication from the Internationa ched detailed Office action f	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference			4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)					
3) Information Disclos	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT ate		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/679,456

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The communication filed on 3/30/04 amended Claims 1, 16, and 25 and cancelled Claims 4-5, 10-15 and 28-32. Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 16-27 remain for examination.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argue: "Solits neither describes nor suggests block allocation of data being performed by the server. Rather, Soltis describes a serverless Global File System in which clients, rather that servers, maintain and manage the storage devices..".

Examiner agrees that Solits discloses that his system is a serverless system. However, Solits discloses that his system merely eliminates the need for expensive server hardware. This does not mean that his system is void of all types of servers. Examiner maintains that the clients of Solits system take on the functionality of servers. Therefore the clients are also servers. This allows the clients to message to exchange information (col 6, lines 30-45). Furthermore applicant essentially concedes that the server and client can operate on the same device in Claim 9. Examiner therefore maintains that the disclosure of Soltis renders the claimed invention unpatentable.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/679,456

Art Unit: 2135

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6493804 issued to Solits et al, herein referred to as Solits.

### Referring to Claims 1:

Solits discloses a method comprising the steps of: attaching said client to said file system (col 8, lines 20-30; col 10, lines 5-15); and reading a formal description of the file system by said client from said disc storage device, wherein said client can determines file information from the formal description of the file system to directly read and write data to and from said disc storage device without requiring further knowledge of said file system (col 6, lines 10-15; col 11, lines 50-60; col 12, lines 10-15; col 14, lines 55-60), block allocation for the data being performed by the server (col 6, lines 30-60; col 14, lines 40-55).

Referring to Claims 16, 20 and 25:

Solits discloses a method for reading or writing data from a storage source comprising: acquiring a description of a file system associated with a storage resource from the storage

Application/Control Number: 09/679,456

Art Unit: 2135

resource (col 11, line 60-col 12, lines 15; col 14, lines 55-60); and determining file information

from the description of a file system for reading or writing directly to the storage resource based

on the description without requiring further knowledge of said file system (col 6, lines 10-15)

block allocation for the data being performed by the server (col 6, lines 30-60, col 14, lines 40-

55).

Referring to Claim 2:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claim 1 above. Solits further discloses reading enough

information to determine the physical block and offset containing a given file's inode given its

inode number (col 12, lines 40-45).

Referring to Claim 3:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claim 2 above. Solits further discloses reading enough

information to determine the block list of a given file given an offset into the file and a length

(col 13, lines 15-30, 55-60).

Referring to Claim 6:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claim 3 above. Solits further discloses sending a

mount request; and receiving a mount response (col 9, line 25-30)

Page 4

Referring to Claim 7:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claim 6 above. Solits further discloses said formal description of the file system read in step b. is saved for future use when a read request or a write request is made by said client (col 9, line 45-50)

Referring to Claim 8:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claim 7 above. Solits further discloses said disc storage device is located in a Storage Area Network (SAN) (col 8, lines 15-20).

Referring to Claim 9:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claim 7 above. Solits further discloses client is located in said server (col 10, lines 5-15).

Referring to Claims 17, 21 and 26:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claims 16, 20 and 25 above. Solits further discloses reading or writing data blocks associated with the file system (col 13, lines 15-30, 55-60).

Referring to Claims 22 and 27:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claims 20 and 25 above. Solits further discloses a computer configured to read and write files associated with the file system (col 13, lines 30-40).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claims 18 and 23:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claims 16 and 22 above. Solits further discloses determining a block and an offset associated with a file on said file system based on a file identifier (col 12, lines 40-45).

Referring to Claims 19 and 24:

Solits discloses the limitations of Claims 18 and 22 above. Solits further discloses determining a block list associated with a file based on an offset into the file and a length (col 13, lines 15-30, 55-60).

## Final Rejection

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/679,456

Art Unit: 2135

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monplaisir G Hamilton whose telephone number is (703) 305-5116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monplaisir Hamilton

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMELED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2163

Page 7